

SCRUTINY TOPIC REGISTRATION / ASSESSMENT FORM FOR COUNCILLORS

What is the broad topic area?

Review of Residents Parking Scheme

Ambitions for the review *i.e. what is the review trying to achieve & why? What will be different as a result of the review?*

To identify efficiency savings, potential different processes to use e.g. new technology, and learn from other authorities e.g. North Yorks who charge less than a third of the price in York for similar scheme across the county.

Objective: To half the cost of standard permit through efficiencies

What remit would you propose for your topic *i.e. what should be included & excluded?*

Include desktop exercise comparing the York scheme with others in UK. Include opportunity for residents in Respark areas and those on the waiting list to comment on how the scheme might be improved.

Please indicate how the review would be in the public or Council's interest? *e.g. reviewing recycling options in the city would reduce the cost to the Council for landfill*

Boost confidence of public in the scheme and the council

Greater transparency of operation and enforcement costs.

Lower charges would be popular with residents and encourage more comprehensive coverage. This in turn would boost use of park + ride and reduce commuter traffic and congestion.

Supporting Information: *Please provide any other information you feel might be useful background to the submission of this topic for consideration - supporting documentation may be attached.*

Report to Transport Decision session March 2018 re 'Respark Waiting List'

Scale of charges and CYC website information explaining operation of the current scheme.

Report from Head of Parking Services re costs and income from permits & PCNs (already requested).

Officers Feedback on Topic Proposal

1. Does it have a potential impact on one or more sections of the population?
Yes **No**
2. Is it a corporate priority / concern to the council's partners? Unsure
Yes **No**
3. Will the review add value and lead to effective outcomes? Unsure **Yes** **No**
4. Is it timely, and do we have the resources? **Yes** **No**
5. Will the review duplicate other work? **Yes** **No**

If the answer is 'Yes' to questions 1 – 4 and 'No' to question 5, then the Committee may decide to proceed with the review. To decide how best to carry out the review, the Committee may need advice on the following:

1) Who and how shall we consult *i.e. who do we need to consult and why? Is there already any feedback from customers and/or other consultation groups that we need to take account of?*

All internal officers that are involved with the resident parking scheme in the first instance, namely Finance and Network Management. In the second then all affected Ward Councillors and residents who live within a residents parking zone. As this review seemingly is to look at reducing the price of the residents parking permits, it is deemed highly unlikely that there will be any objection to the lowering of the permit price and may seem a redundant exercise to consult resident permit holders if they wish to see a reduction in the cost of their permit or not.

2) Do we need any experts/specialists (internal/external) *i.e. is the review dependent on specific teams, departments or external bodies? What impact will the review have on the work of any of these?*

Yes, Network Management and Finance. It should be noted that the charges for the resident parking zone, as with all permits, is a decision made by Executive Members. So it is suggested the Executive Member for Transport be involved with this also.

3) What other help do we need *i.e. what information do we need and who will provide it and what do we need to undertake this review e.g. specific resources, events, meetings etc?*

There is no resource to take forward a review of this nature.

4) Does this review relate to any other ongoing projects or depend on them for anything?

Later this year it is hoped there will be a review of all the parking permits in York, with a view to rationalising these down.

5) How long should it take *i.e. does the timings of completion of the review need to coincide with any other ongoing or planned work?*

This could likely take many months not to mention the cost of consultation, and the need to have a dedicated resource to this.